

TOWN COUNCIL
Town of Trumbull
CONNECTICUT
www.trumbull-ct.gov

TOWN HALL
Trumbull

TELEPHONE
(203) 452-5005



LEGISLATION & ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
Minutes
Revised
April 30, 2012

The Chair called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. All present joined in a moment of silence and the Pledge of Allegiance.

*The revision to these minutes is the addition of Jeffrey Donofrio to the list of present at this meeting.

Present: Suzanne Testani, Chairman, Chad Ciocci, Vice Chairman, Kristy Waizenegger, Martha Jankovic-Mark, Fred Palmieri, Jr. and Jeffrey Donofrio.

Absent: Jeff Jenkins and James Meisner, Alternate

Also Present: Dan Nelson, Chief of Staff, Carl A. Massaro, Jr. Town Council Chairman, Ron Dray, Chairman of the Golf Commission, Dick DeLibro Golf Commissioner, Mr. William Holden Registrar of Voters.

* Moved by Mr. Ciocci, seconded by Ms. Waizenegger to take Resolution TC24-36 out of order.
VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

1. RESOLUTION TC24-36: Moved by Mr. Ciocci, seconded by Mr. Palmieri.

BE IT RESOLVED, That \$65,000 is hereby appropriated from 21-315200 Golf Course Retained Earnings to 21100000-581888 Golf Capital Outlay.

- Mr. Dray indicated that the Golf Course's maintenance barn is overdue for repairs. There are no structural issues. They will fix the rot, reinsulate the building, vinyl side the building and the shed. There will be no cost to the Town; the funds will come from the enterprise fund. Mr. DeLibro explained because there are no gutters on the building, the water flows towards the building and the asphalt is heaving. The parking lot will be regraded and paved. Mr. Dray stated they had gone out for bid and had received three (3) bids. They are asking for \$15,000 more just in case they do find something structural, if the additional funds are not spent they will stay in the Retained Earnings account.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

2. RESOLUTION TC24-33: Moved by Ms. Waizenegger, seconded by Mr. Donofrio.

BE IT RESOLVED, WHEREAS, the Trumbull Town Council, that this legislative body is cognizant of the conditions and prerequisites for the state financial assistance imposed by Part VI of Chapter 130 of the Connecticut General Statutes; that the filing of an application for State financial assistance by the Town of Trumbull in an amount not to exceed \$300,000.00 is hereby approved, and that Timothy M. Herbst, First Selectman of the Town of Trumbull is directed to execute and file such application with the Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development, to provide such additional information, to execute such other documents as may be required, to execute an Assistance Agreement with the State of Connecticut for State financial assistance if such an agreement is offered, to execute any amendments, decisions, and revisions thereto, to carryout approved activities and to act as the authorized representative of the Town of Trumbull. (Full Resolution Attached)

- Mr. Nelson indicated that the Town had applied for this grant in 2011 and had been denied. The grant is becoming more competitive every year but the Town believes they have a good opportunity to receive this grant because the elevator is used by a regional Probate Court, a state office. This will be the last year the Town applies as they can not keep deferring the repairs on the elevator. If awarded the grant the elevator would be replaced. This grant is specifically for the elevator, to address ADA issues.
- Mr. Ciocci spoke against this resolution explaining that tax refunds are up, the state is not getting as much money as projected. The state is heading towards a financial disaster and explained it is incumbent upon all municipalities and every elected official not to contribute to the problem but help alleviate it.

VOTE: Motion CARRIED 4-2 (Against: Ciocci and Waizenegger).

3. RESOLUTION TC24-33: Moved by Ms. Mark, seconded by Mr. Ciocci.

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDAINED, That Chapter 14 Offenses and Miscellaneous Provisions, Section 14-11 Blight Prevention of the Trumbull Municipal Code is hereby amended to include paragraph (9) Municipal Performance. (Full Resolution Attached)

Moved by Ms. Mark, seconded by Mr. Donofrio to amend the resolution correcting the number to read as TC24-34:

RESOLUTION TC24-34: BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDAINED, That Chapter 14 Offenses and Miscellaneous Provisions, Section 14-11 Blight Prevention of the Trumbull Municipal Code is hereby amended to include paragraph (9) Municipal Performance.

VOTE: Motion to Amend CARRIED unanimously.

- Mr. Nelson stated that this provision would allow for work to be done on blighted properties in Town. The Town as provided under the state statute 7-148aa would be able to enter onto the exterior of the property, to remediate the blighted condition and to lien the property for the cost. The statute allows the Town to go onto the blighted property's exterior if it is noted in the Town's ordinance. The Town would collect on the liens when the property is transferred. The Town attorneys had forwarded the language of this resolution as it is written on this agenda. Blight has become more of an issue with foreclosures occurring; though the Town has under a dozen. The Town wanted to address this issue and had started small with a \$5,000 allocation in the budget. The work would be outsourced. This falls under the Town Building Official, and is handled by the Blight Control Officer. West Haven has such a provision within their ordinance. The Town still has to follow procedure before the property is considered blighted, those procedures are prescribed in the CGS (CT General Statutes), the Town is limited before it can take such action.

- Ms. Mark indicated that blight is a problem but could see potential issues with the ordinance.
- Mr. Donofrio stated that the CGS 7-148 gives municipalities the right via ordinance to regulate blight. North Haven passed a very similar ordinance this year, which has 10,000 fewer people than Trumbull. The ordinance fills a gap between what the zoning regulations allow a Town to do and what the health code allows the Town to do. That is why 7-148 exists so that the Town can enter onto the property, not into.
- Mr. Nelson indicated for Mr. Ciocci that the property does not have to be vacant at the time. The Town would not enter the premises. If the property were rented, the property owner would be fined.
- Mr. Nelson indicated to Ms. Waizenegger a lien is what is prescribed under the CGS 7-148aa. Mr. Nelson read the section of the statute with regard to liens to the committee and explained that the Town can not deviate from the statute. Mr. Nelson read the Town's current definition of blight from the code for Ms. Mark. Ms. Mark stated that she understands the Town wants to take action but is torn because the Town may open itself up. Mr. Nelson indicated that Town is not allowed to enter the premises. The liens would have to be paid (after any unpaid taxes are paid) when the property transfers to a new owner.

The Chair opened the Public Hearing at 7:30 p.m.

There were two (2) people from the public to speak. Public Comment is attached.

The Public Hearing was closed at 7:33 p.m.

- Moved by Ms. Mark, seconded by Ms. Waizenegger to pass to the Town Council without recommendation so that a comparison of this ordinance and the previous ordinance and the information that Mr. Nelson presented could be provided in writing.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

4. RESOLUTION TC24-35: Moved by Mr. Palmieri, seconded by Mr. Ciocci.

BE IT RESOLVED, That the Town of Trumbull endorses a Fair Housing Policy to ensure equal opportunity for all persons to rent, purchase, obtain financing and enjoy all other housing-related services of their choice on a non-discriminatory basis as provided by state and federal law. (Full Resolution Attached)

- Mr. Nelson stated that this resolution is a requirement for the Small Cities grant application, and are re required to readopt a Fair Housing resolution. The full resolution attached is verbatim of what the State sends the Town.
- Mr. Ciocci spoke in favor of this resolution and endorses the nature of the resolution even though it is a grant.
- Ms. Mark spoke in favor of this resolution.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

5. Resolution TC24-40: Moved by Mr. Donofrio, seconded by Ms. Waizenegger.

WHEREAS, the municipal voting districts of the Town of Trumbull are described in Chapter 6 of the Trumbull Town Code, and;

WHEREAS, the Trumbull Town Council adopted new voting districts on April 2, 2012 (TC24-31), and;

WHEREAS, the boundary lines of the new districts require further review to assure accuracy of their description;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDAINED That Chapter 6, Section 6-1 of the Trumbull Town Code is amended as follows:

Section 6-1 Voting Districts

In accordance with Section 9-169 of the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, the Town Council of the Town of Trumbull does hereby redivide the Town of Trumbull into four (4) voting districts.

- Ms. Mark stated that this resolution is out of order; this is the second time the Town Council is voting on the same resolution in the same session (per the Town Council rules). Changes are being made that should not be made; a motion had been made to send the resolution back to committee on April 2, 2012 and had been defeated.

Moved by Ms. Mark, seconded by Mr. Palmieri that the resolution is out of order and should be taken off the agenda.

- Mr. Ciocchi stated it would be foolish for the committee not to correct a small mistake and to forego the correction which could affect future elections in this Town speaking in favor of making a couple of adjustments to their previous work.
- The Chair explained for Mr. Palmieri, as a result of the boundary lines having been reviewed a discrepancy was found on the east-west border of District 1 and 3, in the area of Briarcroft and Pinehurst, an omission of White Plains Road on the boundary line of Districts 2 and 4 needs to be inserted and the addition of the town of Easton to the boundary line of District 4 is needed.
- Mr. Ciocchi stated in light of the information provide by the Chair the motion of the floor should be withdrawn.
- Ms. Mark did not withdraw the motion.
- The Chair called for the vote on ruling the resolution out of order.

VOTE: Motion failed 2-4 (Against: Testani, Ciocchi, Waizenegger and Donofrio)

- Mr. Holden explained he had sent an e-mail to the Town Council Chairman the day after the April 2, 2012 meeting letting him know that he had found a technical error; a line had been on Briarcroft Avenue instead of Pinehurst Street. In addition to that the wording had been checked against the map by the Town Engineering Dept. There were a couple of technical errors found they are as follows: Old Dike Road had been incorrectly identified as being a part of Beach Memorial Drive, it is a continuation, it should be listed as Old Dike Road. There is a section off Church Hill Road which is Old Church Hill Road this is on the border of District 1 and District 4. Placid Street and Briarcroft Avenue involve District 1 and District 3. On District 3, the western side should be Easton and District 4 on the western side should be Easton as well as Fairfield. The 134th boundary line says District 4 follows deviates somewhat from Reservoir Avenue to the intersection to Old Town Road. The census line and the 134th assembly line follow what used to be Reservoir Avenue, the intersection was redesigned a few years ago at the church area at White Plains Road, it had been at a quite an angle before. The description says it follows the 134th assembly line and is bounded on the east by Reservoir Avenue; it makes no mention of going through that section of what is now a church parking lot; that is a correction that should be made. The area to the east of that line even though there is zero population would be in the 123rd. All errors known are of a technical in nature. Mr. Holden indicated for Mr. Ciocchi that if the council did not adopt the resolution it would end up in the courts,

the courts would indicate that the boundary lines are clear enough to indicate the intentions of where they were to be. There is a small stretch between Reservoir Avenue and Daniels Farm Road, basically in front of Trumbull Center at White Plains Road should be included in the description it was in District 4 (eastern boundary) but was not in District 2 (western boundary), a typographical error. Mr. Holden indicated to Ms. Mark that these are not substantial changes and does not believe there were changes to the map. These are changes in street names where a street continues and the street names changes, the boundary lines have to connect from point A to point B. Mr. Holden stated he had found these discrepancies himself based on testimony given at the April 2, 2012 Town Council meeting with regard to an error found on boundary lines, splitting census blocks.

- Ms. Mark stated that she feels they are voting on the same thing again, the boundaries were read out loud at the last Redistricting meeting, questioning how they will know if these boundary lines are correct.
- Mr. Holden stated that these changes have been verified with the Engineering Department and indicated for Mr. Massaro no other changes had been described at this meeting that were not on revised red font copy distributed. Mr. Holden reviewed the district map with the committee and the public present at this meeting specifically the church area on White Plains road near Reservoir Avenue and all the other changes to the boundary lines. The committee discussed that census blocks can not be split. There was further discussion on whether the census block is split at Main Street, Briarcroft, Lakewood and Pinehurst Street.
- Ms. Mark stated for the record that if they had been allowed a six (6) member committee their third representative would have been Richard White who is an expert on GIS and would be sure that they would not have any errors in their maps right now. Ms. Mark would like to take care of this correctly but also noted that the basic language is the same as it appeared on April 2, 2012, and are basically reenacting the 4 district plan which is why she will be voting against it.
- Mr. Ciocchi requested clarification that what will be voted on is different than what had been voted on April 2, 2012. Mr. Massaro explained that this resolution completes the boundary lines, there had been omissions in District 2, White Plains Road been omitted on one boundary line but in the description of the neighboring district, what had been distributed would be the amendment, and are insertions of omitted streets with a change in the one district with regard to Briarcroft and Pinehurst everything else is an omission.

Mr. Donofrio moved to amend the resolution, no second was heard.

- Mr. Palmieri indicated that he is uncomfortable with moving people from one district to another. Mr. Holden indicated for Mr. Palmieri he had not seen a statute that reads that a census block can not be split. In the area between Pinehurst and Briarcroft there are 46 people, the description does not say where the 46 people are located. There are areas in Town where it appears to be vacant areas, there are 200-300 people within the area and it can not be determined where those people are, they are within the circle that contains the census block.
 1. Mr. Ciocchi stated with regard to the last “X” reviewed on the map by the committee, come election day, because of the system used to draw the boundaries, if you lived on a particular road you would not be able to determine which district you should be voting in. The Chair added that would be the case for the

46 people referenced at this meeting. It is not that legally you may not split a census block, but practically a census block should not be split. Mr. Massaro stated census blocks are used so that the population can be calculated, that information is used to determine the one vote one rule. If you go through a precinct you do not know the population on either side of the line

Moved by Mr. Donofrio, seconded by Mr. Ciocci to amend the resolution to include the boundaries as attached.

The Chair opened the Public Hearing at 8:10 p.m.
There were three (3) people from the public present to speak.
The Chair closed the Public Hearing at 8:20 p.m.

The Chair called a recess at 8:21 p.m.
The Chair called the meeting back to order at 8:31 p.m.

The Chair called for the vote on the amendment of Resolution TC24-40.
The Chair clarified that the vote is to amend the resolution with the language distributed with the corrections in red.

VOTE: Motion to amend CARRIED 4-2 (Against: Palmieri and Mark).

Motion to send Resolution TC 24-40 without recommendation
VOTE: Motion CARRIED 4-1-1 (Abstention: Mark) (Against: Palmieri)

There being no further business to discuss and upon motion made by Mr. Ciocci, seconded by Mr. Palmieri the L&A Committee adjourned at 8:33 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Margaret Mastroni,
Trumbull Town Council Clerk.

Full Resolution
ATTACHMENTS

RESOLUTION TC24-33: BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDAINED, That Chapter 14 Offenses and Miscellaneous Provisions, Section 14-11 Blight Prevention of the Trumbull Municipal Code is hereby amended to include paragraph (9) Municipal Performance stated as follows:

(9) Municipal Performance. In the event any owner, agent, tenant, or person in control of real property located in the Town of Trumbull fails to abate or correct any violation under this section, after the issuance of a citation as prescribed in Sec. 14-11(4)(d) of the Trumbull Municipal Code, the Town of Trumbull, acting through its Blight Prevention Officer, may cause or take such action as is necessary to correct such violation. The Town of Trumbull may designate agents to enter property during reasonable hours for the purpose of remediating blighted conditions, although neither the municipality nor its designated agents may enter any dwelling house or structure on such property, without the express consent of such owner, agent, tenant, or person responsible for such property or by order of the Connecticut Superior Court or other court of competent jurisdiction. The cost to take such action shall be a civil claim by the Town against such owner, agent, tenant, or person responsible for such property and the Town Attorney may bring an action to recover all such costs and expenses incurred.

RESOLUTION TC24-34: BE IT RESOLVED, WHEREAS, federal monies are available under the Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C § 5301, et. seq., as amended, also known as Public Law 93-383, and administered by the State of Connecticut, Department of Economic and Community Development as the Connecticut Small Cities Development Block Grant Program; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapter 127c, and Part VI of Chapter 130 of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Commissioner of the State of Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development is authorized disburse such federal monies to local municipalities; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the public interest that the Town of Trumbull make application to the State of Connecticut for up to \$300,000 in order to undertake and carryout a Small Cities Community Development Program and to execute an Assistance Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Trumbull Town Council, that this legislative body is cognizant of the conditions and prerequisites for the state financial assistance imposed by Part VI of Chapter 130 of the Connecticut General Statutes; that the filing of an application for State financial assistance by the Town of Trumbull in an amount not to exceed \$300,000.00 is hereby approved, and that Timothy M. Herbst, First Selectman of the Town of Trumbull is directed to execute and file such application with the Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development, to provide such additional information, to execute such other documents as may be required, to execute an Assistance Agreement with the State of Connecticut for State financial assistance if such an agreement is offered, to execute any amendments, decisions, and revisions thereto, to carryout approved activities and to act as the authorized representative of the Town of Trumbull.

RESOLUTION TC24-35: Whereas, All persons are afforded a right to full and equal housing opportunities in the neighborhood of their choice; and

Whereas, Federal fair housing laws require that all individuals, regardless of race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status or national origin, be given equal access to all housing-related opportunities, including rental and homeownership opportunities, and be allowed to make free choices regarding housing location; and

Whereas, Connecticut fair housing laws require that all individuals, regardless of race, creed, color, national origin, ancestry, sex, marital status, age, lawful source of income, familial status, learning disability, physical or mental disability, sexual orientation, be given equal access to all housing-related opportunities, including rental and home ownership opportunities, and be allowed to make free choices regarding housing location; and

Whereas, The Town of Trumbull is committed to upholding these laws, and realizes that these laws must be supplemented by an Affirmative Statement publicly endorsing the right of all people to full and equal housing opportunities in the neighborhood of their choice.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOVED,

That the Town of Trumbull hereby endorses a Fair Housing Policy to ensure equal opportunity for all persons to rent, purchase, obtain financing and enjoy all other housing-related services of their choice on a non-discriminatory basis as provided by state and federal law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,

That the chief executive officer of the Town of Trumbull or his/her designated representative is responsible for responding to and assisting any person who alleges to be the victim of an illegal discriminatory housing practice in the Town of Trumbull and for advising such person of the right to file a complaint with the State of Connecticut Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO) or the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) or to seek assistance from the CT Fair Housing Center, legal services, or other fair housing organizations to protect his or her right to equal housing opportunities.

PUBLIC HEARING

Resolution TC24-34

The Chair opened the Public Hearing at 7:30 p.m.

There were two (2) people present to speak.

Public Hearing Comments are as follows:

1. Cindy Katske of 129 Meadowview Drives was present and indicated it would be beneficial for the committee to have more background information, although noted that they had heard more now, but to have had background information ahead of time on what the current ordinance says and maybe a comparison of the change like the BoE advisory committee shows, (i.e. redlined and stricken out language) since it is something we already have and are changing. This would be helpful in this instance and in other instances moving forward. It seems the committee had been presented with a lot of information in a short amount of time this evening and did not know how comfortable she would be voting on this.
2. Laurel Anderson of 5242 Main Street stated that she had worked for the United Way on a project called City Scan, which was an anti-blight program. The majority of the work that they did was in Bridgeport. Bridgeport has such an ordinance and have been through the mill and urged the committee if they do not have to vote tonight to look at what they have done and some of the challenges they have had. They are the queen city in this area with this kind of problem and it is a massive problem. If the committee does not have to vote tonight, the committee might want to take a look at what they have been through and have done because they have written the book.

The Chair closed the Public Hearing at 7:33 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING

Resolution TC24-40

The Chair opened the Public Hearing at 8:10 p.m.

There were three (3) people from the public present to speak.

1. Mr. Richard White of 169 Church Hill Road a GIS Professional was present. He has been working with this data for his entire career, this is third census, and with the exception of Mr. Holden has been looking forward to this process more than anyone in Town. Mr. White distributed a handout that represents a future fictional organizational chart for the Town Council and reviewed it with the committee. There would 1.6 positions per member filled by the majority of 17 members and 4 positions per member needed by the minority. At any time there would be ad-hock committees the minority would have to find someone to fill that position as well. The Chair noted this commentary was not germane to resolution, corrections are being made and there is one situation with a census block, majorities and minorities are not being discussed at this meeting.
2. Cindy Katske of 129 Meadowview Drive was present and questioned why the L&A Committee was acting this resolution not the R&R Committee that had acted on this resolution the last time around and questioned why the council is doing exactly what it voted against doing at the April 2, 2012 meeting. Ms. Tesoro had made a motion to send the resolution back to committee due to inaccurate boundary resolutions; the council voted the motion down. It seems to be a contradiction, maybe the resolution should go back to the Redistricting Committee. Is disappointed with the process and that this has been rushed through at every stage. Ms. Katske stated that she had attended all of the Redistricting meetings, the boundary description had never been discussed or whether the descriptions were accurate, the descriptions were read out loud and not given by hard copy to the minority members of the committee. The process was rushed through with errors, which was passed by the Town Council. This matter is very important and does not feel it was looked at as thoroughly or carefully as it should have been.
3. Ms. Vicki Tesoro of 133 Beechwood Avenue was present and indicated as a member of the Redistricting Committee is disappointed and was disappointed last week when she saw this item on the agenda with no back-up but did receive the back-up at a later date and was disappointed it did not go back to the Redistricting Committee to look at this. Perhaps if that happened they could have gone over the changes and looked at the map properly. The changes that we know have would have gone through a committee more eyes are better than two. The committee has not been disbanded and asked the L&A Committee to consider sending this resolution back to committee before it comes to the full council with more errors.

The Chair closed the Public Hearing at 8:20 p.m.

**RESOLUTION TC24-40
AS AMENDED**

RESOLUTION TC24-40: BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDAINED, That Chapter 6, Section 6-1 of the Trumbull Town Code is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6-1 voting districts

In accordance with Section 9-169 of the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, the Town Council of the Town of Trumbull does hereby redivide the Town of Trumbull into four (4) voting districts:

District 1 Bounded generally by:

Northerly: The Monroe town line.

Easterly: The Shelton town line and Booth Hill Road, each in part.

Southerly: Wisteria Drive, Old Sawmill Road, West Lake Road, Old Dike Road, Beach Memorial Drive, Hedgehog Road, Daniels Farm Road, Route 25 Expressway, Pequonnock River, Daniels Farm Road each in part.

Westerly: Church Hill Road, Old Church Hill Road, Church Hill Road again, Main Street (Rte 111), Pinehurst Street, Lakewood Drive, Briarcroft Avenue, Placid Street, a perpendicular line from the end of Placid Street to the Pequonnock River, Pequonnock River, Whitney Avenue, Lower Broadway (adjacent to Long Hill Green), Main Street (Rte 111), Main Street (Rte 25), each in part.

District 2 Bounded generally by:

Northerly: Daniels Farm Road, Pequonnock River, Route 25 Expressway, Daniels Farm Road, Hedgehog Road, Beach Memorial Drive, Old Dike Road, West Lake Road, Old Sawmill Road, Wisteria Drive, Booth Hill Road, the Shelton town line, each in part.

Easterly: The Shelton and Stratford town lines, each in part.

Southerly: The Stratford and Bridgeport town lines, each in part.

Westerly: Reservoir Avenue, White Plains Road.

District 3 Bounded generally by:

Northerly: The Monroe town line.

- Easterly:** Main Street (Rte 25), Main St (Rte 111), Lower Broadway (adjacent to Long Hill Green), Whitney Avenue, Pequonnock River, a perpendicular line to the end of Placid Street, Briarcroft Avenue, Lakewood Drive, Pinehurst Street, Main Street (Rte 111), each in part.
- Southerly:** Walker Road, Sterling Road, Mulberry Street, Hampton Road, Elliot Road, Histon Road, Garwood Road, Park Lane, Canoe Brook Road, Wildwood Drive, Lake Avenue, Driftwood Lane, Madison Avenue, Kaechele Street, each in part.
- Westerly:** The Easton town Line.
- District 4** Bounded generally by (all that portion of Trumbull in the 134th Assembly District):
- Northerly:** Kaechele Street, Madison Avenue, Driftwood Lane, Lake Avenue, Wildwood Drive, Canoe Brook Road, Park Lane, Garwood Road, Histon Road, Elliott Road, Hampton Road, Mulberry Street, Sterling Road, Walker Road, Main Street, Church Hill Road, Old Church Hill Road, Church Hill Road again, White Plains Road, each in part.
- Easterly:** Reservoir Ave.
- Southerly:** The Bridgeport town line.
- Westerly:** The Fairfield town line and Easton.