

Trumbull High School Building Committee
MINUTES
October 7, 2009

Call to Order:

The Chairman, Mr. Nugent called the meeting to order at 6:18 pm.

Present: Ms. Bivona, Mr. Chmielewski, (arrived at 7:00 pm.), Ms. Flynn, Ms. Gottlieb, Mr. Nugent, Mr. Jenkins (arrived at 6:55 pm.), Mr. Lemay, and Mr. Ronnow and Ms. Timpanelli.

Absent: Mr. Doyle, Ms. King, and Mr. McCabe.

Also Present: A. Barbarotta of AFB (arrived at 7:21 pm.), J. Barbarotta of AFB, Brian Holmes of O&G, Stephen Burgess of JCJ, Larry Schilling of O&G, Tom Walsh of AP Construction (arrived at 6:40 pm) and Julie Norris of JCJ.

Approval of Minutes:

Ms. Gottlieb and Ms. Bivona moved and seconded to amend the September 24, 2009 meeting minutes to correct the spelling to read as, "Megson & Hagal" on page 1 and to add the language, " with the caveat that the BoE has voted to approve the plans before the drawings go to the state for review". VOTE: Motion to amend was approved 5-0-2 (Ronnow and Timpanelli abstain).

Ms. Gottlieb and Ms. Bivona moved and seconded to approve the 09/24/09 meeting minutes as amended. VOTE: Motion as amended approved 5-0-2 (Ronnow and Timpanelli abstain).

Proposals:

Mr. J. Barbarotta reported that AFB had solicited a third quote and had compared the previous two quotes. AFB recommends CT Materials Testing to perform the special inspections. CT Materials Testing's proposal is \$3,323.00 for a 4-month duration if it should go over the 4-month period (out of scope) the hourly rate would be \$85.00.

Mr. Ronnow and Ms. Gottlieb moved and seconded to approve the CT Materials Testing proposal to conduct the special inspections in the amount of \$3,230.00 with an hourly rate of \$85.00 if the scope is changed. VOTE: Motion approved unanimously.

Upcoming PCO's:

Mr. J. Barbarotta reported that there is a fair amount of unsuitable soil on-site which will be removed and replaced with stone. As the project moves forward they are finding more and more. They will keep track of how much. The unsuitable soil has been \$7,000.00 in costs to date and they are expecting more to come. It is anticipated that the total PCO will be over \$10,000.00. The material taken out from on-site has been certified to use as back-fill, the approval had just been issued last week.

Invoice Approvals:

Mr. Ronnow and Ms. Bivona moved and seconded to approve the JCJ Invoice #12 in the amount of \$96,792.24 dated 09/30/2009 representing professional services from 09/01/09 to 09/30/09. AFB recommends payment. VOTE: Motion approved unanimously.

Ms. Flynn and Ms. Bivona moved and seconded to approve the O&G Application #3 in the amount of \$443,935.00 dated 10/06/09 representing the period through 09/30/09. AFB recommends payment. VOTE: Motion approved unanimously

Ms. Flynn and Ms. Bivona moved and seconded to approve the BKM TOTAL OFFICE Invoice #538431 dated 09/11/09 in the amount of \$13,118.00. This item had been previously approved by the building committee as a not to exceed number. VOTE: Motion approved unanimously.

Ms. Flynn and Ms. Bivona moved and seconded to approve the AMC Environmental, LLC Invoice #A090904 dated 09/09/09 for the period of 07/22/09 through 07/30/09 in the amount of \$7,472.55.00 representing the testing of/for asbestos. VOTE: Motion approved unanimously.

Architect's Update:

Mr. Burgess introduced Julie Norris Senior Interior Designer of the School Department with JCJ to the building committee. Ms. Norris explained that Phase III of the project includes the FF&E and Technology hardware. Ms. Norris reviewed the first floor north first floor south and second floor south floor-plans (overview) with the building committee. Ms. Norris reviewed the main administrative and nurse area layouts with the building committee. The music area will expand to the auditorium area. The auditorium will provide 12 temporary classrooms, the tech ed and SCS (food, culinary, clothing lab) are staying where they are there will be new case work purchased through the Perkin's grant. The tech ed department will have some new equipment, but most of their equipment will be reused. Ms. Norris explained that any loose and movable equipment is included in Phase III, anything which is anchored to the building is included in Phase II. Ms. Norris reviewed a typical classroom layout with the building committee, all chalkboards will be removed and replaced with INO (interactive) boards flanked by whiteboard. The INO boards are what we know as *smart-boards* but are a different brand than *smart-board*. Computer carts will be included in Phase III. Wireless technology will be included in Phase II. The science wing will be refurbished (cabinetry included), necessary repairs will be made throughout the science wing. The classrooms have been designed to 24 students. Ms. Timpanelli stated that contract is 28 students. The state voted in September 2009 that the number of students in the science lab per contract will not be 24, but a new number of students has not been decided. The building committee voiced concern that the science classroom had been designed to 24 students. Ms. Norris explained that additional cabinetry would need to be reconfigured and redesigned to accommodate additional students. That would be costly and could effect the project, if the number were to change it would be possible to pull an additional stool/s up to the counters to accommodate the additional student/s. The science lab counter tops are being replaced with epoxy resin counter tops this is required to meet ADA (American Disability Act) code. Mr. Burgess explained that due to the renovate-as-new status that all the rooms need to meet ADA code. Ms. Norris explained that the number of students in a science lab was 24 and that was the state standard per OSHA, 24 is the norm used. Contract negotiation will take place in June 2010.

Mr. Burgess reviewed the Potential Alternate list. Ms. Timpanelli requested that the lockers be considered a top priority. Mr. Burgess explained that the Potential Alternate list is at the discretion of the building committee, the lockers are actually on the list as a deduct for painting. Mr. Holmes confirmed that new lockers are included in the base bid, just in case the bids come in high, the lockers could be eliminated and painted, therefore that is why the deduct is included on the Potential Alternate list, this allows for an exact cost to be identified. The building committee reviewed the Potential Alternate list in detail with Mr. Holmes and Mr. Burgess. Mr. Burgess explained that the Potential Alternate list reviewed at this meeting is a solid list and one that the sub-contractors will pay attention to. It was confirmed again by Mr. Holmes that the lockers are included in the based bid.

Ms. Bivona and Mr. Ronnow moved and seconded to approve The Potential Addition & Alternates as listed on the proposed list dated Oct. 2, 2009 distributed and presented at this meeting. (SEE ATTACHED). VOTE: Motion approved unanimously.

Mr. Ronnow and Mr. Chmielewski moved and seconded to approve the plans and specifications (EDO 42) as designed by JCJ Architecture and presented at this meeting. The building committee reviewed the plans in detail. The existing sprinkler system will not be exposed in the classrooms, the ceilings will cover all duct-work, the ceilings will be dropped to 8'2" from approximately 9'6". Roof drains have been reduced by 60%. Ms. Flynn requested confirmation that the amount of outlets was sufficient and that there would be no c/o. Mr. Holmes could not promise that there would be no c/o's. The shop drawings are in 3-d which will improve coordination. The stairwells will have new lighting, the walls can not be painted, there are too many layers of sealant on them as they are now, any surface finish used would not adhere. The walls can not be tiled either. The floor finish will be changed and will be a new look to the stairwell. Mr. Nugent explained after the BoE approves the drawings they will go to the state for review on October 20, 2009 and will be with the state until December 2009. The language encouraging local trades to be included in the bids was discussed, the language could be included but per the attorney the language would not be enforceable. The LEED language is included in certain specs and is a prerequisite were included.

The LEED Registered checklist updated on 09/21/09 was distributed and reviewed by the building committee at this meeting. There are 24 Yes points; 15 Likely points; 20 Less Likely points and 13 No's. To achieve LEED Silver 37-43 points are required. This is assuming the natatorium is not in the project. It was confirmed that plans and specs match each other and had been checked. It had been confirmed by Mr. Burgess, Mr. A. Barbarotta and Ms. Timpanelli that the Department Heads, the Superintendent and the Boe had been included throughout the process. The natatorium will require special legislation and that has been requested for the January 2010 session. Mr. Holmes confirmed that there is no financial advantage to include the natatorium in this section of the project. The team rooms and bathrooms are still in the natatorium, if the natatorium is not built the team rooms and bathrooms have not been addressed to date. VOTE: Motion approved unanimously.

There will be no meeting on October 14 or October 28, 2009.

The next THSBC meeting will be Wednesday, November 4, 2009 at The Helen Plumb Building (if available) at 7:00 p.m.

The THSBC adjourned by unanimous consent at 8:40 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Margaret D. Mastroni, Clerk