



Town of Trumbull

5866 MAIN STREET
TRUMBULL, CT 06611

POLICE STATION BUILDING COMMITTEE

ANGELO MAGLIOCCO, CHAIRMAN
ASHLEY GAUDIANO
SHAWN HALEY
ARTHUR LEMAY
DONNA SEIDELL
SCOTT THORNTON

Police Station Building Committee March 10, 2021 MINUTES

The Police Station Building Committee meeting, conducted via on-line Zoom, was called to order at 6:01 p.m. by Chairperson Angelo Magliocco.

Members present via Zoom : Angelo Magliocco
 Ashley Gaudiano
 Shawn Haley
 Arthur Lemay
 Donna Seidell
 Scott Thornton

Also Present: Assistant Chief Glenn Byrnes
 George Estrada – Trumbull Public Works Director
 Dan Martin – Trumbull Assistant Finance Director
 Kevin Bova – Trumbull Purchasing Agent
 Jim Nugent – Trumbull Town Attorney
 Brian Humes – Jacunski-Humes
 Lisa Labella

Members Absent: None

Pledge of Allegiance

Public Comment

No one from the public wished to make a comment at the meeting.

Approval of Minutes, December 2, 2020 meeting

**A motion to approve the minutes as presented was made by Lemay and seconded by Thornton.
Those in attendance at the December meeting voted unanimously to approve.**

New Business

Project Update and Status

Assistant Chief Byrnes referred the committee to the Renovation Status Report in their packets and advised all items below the center space have been completed including the table, which was delivered and completed on February 16. The fence enclosing the ventilation unit outside was completed on March 8, subsequent to the submission of the status report. Mr. Estrada spoke to some existing concerns about the acoustical situation with the firing range and advised that some testing on the range acoustics had been done that day. They note improvement in the noise level but that they were still able to hear some of the impact in the firing range. He advised that additional insulation will be installed around the duct work. He noted that they are continuing noise abatement improvements to try to achieve complete satisfaction on the firing range noise level.

Chairman Magliocco noted that Mr. Estrada and DPW have been very helpful in keeping additional project costs down. In addition, he noted that the fence had been completed and that work is still being done to improve the noise level from the firing range. Mr. Humes advised that the access hatches within the duct work are still not insulated but he believes they can be insulated and still be removable when they need to be used. He also stated that following the remaining suggestions of the acoustical consultants should with the noise abatement. In addition, the consultant has agreed to waive the remaining \$1,200 on their contract as they consider their future involvement in the project will be only on an as needed basis.

Mr. Lemay questioned if DPW was picking up the cost of the remaining items and Mr. Estrada stated that it appears there will be minor cost for a minimal additional amount of insulation. DPW did pick up the cost of the landscaping improvement designed to help with noise abatement. He also advised that last spring and summer the noise level from the firing range was so high that range hours were limited. Pre-emptive work on noise level reduction is still ongoing and there will be another test next week to gauge the current noise level from the range when in operation.

Approval of Invoice

Bismark invoice #10 Dated 12/31/20 \$48,242.91

This invoice represents the full balance, including retainage, of the monies due Bismark for this project. The committee was advised that there is only one issue still pending that would require holding back any retainage, that being sagging insulation around sections of the ductwork. Almost everything else is covered under warranties so the retainage would not be involved. There is concern about how the duct work insulation material will hold up to the elements as it is on the exterior. The committee had extensive discussions of the payment including a suggestion to hold back \$10,000 until the ductwork issue is resolved. The committee was advised that the sagging insulation is the only remaining punch list issue and that there has been good responsiveness from Bismark in the past when items needing correction have arisen. Several committee members supported holding back \$10,000 of the \$48,242.91 until the insulation issue is resolved.

A motion was made by Lemay and seconded by Gaudiano, to reduce the retainage from \$48,242.91 to \$10,000 even. The motion was approved on a unanimous vote.

Mr. Martin was asked if a new invoice was needed and he stated that the committee basically now just voted to pay Bismark \$38,242.91 of the invoice presented and that there was no need to request that the contractor resubmit their invoice.

New Business

There will be a test of the firing range next week and the committee members are invited to attend. An email will go out to all committee members inviting them to the test session.

Old Business

No old business was brought before the committee.

Next meetings

The committee set a date of Wednesday, April 14 for the next meeting. (6:00 PM via Zoom).

Adjournment

A motion to adjourn was made at 6:32 PM by Lemay, seconded by Seidell and approved on a unanimous vote.

Respectfully submitted,
Laurel Anderson
Clerk of Committee